

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/885,746	PETERSON, PAUL
	Examiner Kanji Patel	Art Unit 2625

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kanji Patel. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Keith Jarosik. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 26 August 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: Applicant's representative explained the invention by showing the multiple composite image in photograph.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 12 and 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kroos.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant will amend the independent claim 12 by including the objected limitations of claim 13 and also will amend the claim 19 similarly like claim 1. Examiner will conduct further search before allowing the application.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

*kanji Patel 8/26/04*  
Examiner's signature, if required